

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/437,496	<b>Applicant(s)</b> INOUE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Phuoc H. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2143	

**All Participants:**

(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.

(2) Phillip J. Articola Reg. No. 38,819.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 February 2006

**Time:** 10:30AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 17, and 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Wicks U.S. Pat. 5,796,394 and Schwartz U.S. Pat. 6,243,739

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that to incorporate dependent claim 3 into the independents claims 1 and 19, and cancel others independent claims would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative (Phillip Articola) agreed and authorized for the Examiner for performing the Examiner Amendment.